

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,999 02/06/2004		02/06/2004	Pedro Zamora, JR.	6772P001	8421
8791	7590	09/01/2005		EXAM	INER
BLAKELY		OFF TAYLOR & :	PHILLIPS, C	HARLES E	
SEVENTH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEI	LES, CA	90025-1030		3751	

DATE MAILED: 09/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		0)	
	Application No.	Applicant(s) ZAMORA,, PEDRO	
	10/773,999		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles E. Phillips	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,5 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) <u>3,4,6-9,18 and 19</u> is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	ents have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	=	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment/e)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (1 10-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	(08) 5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/18/04</u>. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,999

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Campbell.

The seat 34 is mounted on wheels 100 and 102. The longitudinal members of claim 1 are seen at 80 and 82 and are retained by end members 186 of Fig. 7. Re: claim 10, see element 160. As seen in Fig. 1, the device is deployed on the upper surface of a bathtub. The end members 186 provide full response to the method limitations of claims 13-14.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over campbell, as applied supra, in view of Mason et al.

To provide for the tracks of the former to comprise the nature of the assembly as depicted in Fig. 6, of the latter would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan as the substitution of one track for another shown used in the same environment would have been prima facie obvious to the ordinary artisan.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,999

Art Unit: 3751

Claims 5,12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell, as applied supra, in view of Herman

The provision of a telescopically adjustable frame feature such as shown in Figs. 2-3 of Herman, wherever needed or desired would have been obvious to the ordinary artisan. Re: the range of adjustment would have constituted an obvious expedient of choice in design depending on the desired environment of use.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for "each arm rest" of line 1.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Claims16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Campbell, as applied supra.

The load capicity would have constituted an obvious expedient of choice in design depending on intended use, as would the amoent of the frame deployed on any given surface.

Claims 3,4,6-9 and 18-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,999

Art Unit: 3751

Page 4

Brinkmann teaches a shower chair support with end members 16.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Charles E.

Phillips at telephone number 571-272-4893.

Charles E. Phillips
Primary Examiner